Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/651,849	HENRY, PAUL M.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Linh M. Nguyen	2816
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Linh M. Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) Brett Hertzgerg.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 August 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 10, 14, 15 and 18-20		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: A teleconference was held to clarify the claim language, specifically in claims 10, 14, 15 and 18-20, to place the application in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examinar/SDE Signature)	Amalianata Daggarantati (C)	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	jnature – it appropriate)